

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property
Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
15 April 2004 (15.04.2004)


PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2004/031791 A3

- (51) International Patent Classification⁷: G01R 31/308
- (21) International Application Number:
PCT/US2003/031398
- (22) International Filing Date: 3 October 2003 (03.10.2003)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data:
60/416,333 3 October 2002 (03.10.2002) US
- (71) Applicants (for all designated States except US): APPLIED MATERIALS ISRAEL, LTD. [IL/IL]; 8 Oppenheimer Street, 76236 Rehovot (IL). APPLIED MATERIALS, INC. [US/US]; P.O. Box 450A, Santa Clara, CA 95052 (US).
- (72) Inventors; and
- (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): ALMOGY, Gilad [IL/IL]; 1A Rupin Street, Kiriat-Ono (IL). TALBOT, Chris [—/US]; 6 Summit Court, Emerald Hills, AZ 94062 (US). LEVIN, Lior [US/US]; 1136 Derbyshire Drive, Cupertino, AZ 95014 (US).
- (74) Agent: FAHMI, Tarek, N.; Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman LLP, 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90025 (US).
- (81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.
- (84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).
- Published:
— with international search report
- (88) Date of publication of the international search report:
24 June 2004

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR DEFECT LOCALIZATION ON ELECTRICAL TEST STRUCTURES



(57) Abstract: A method and system for defect localization includes: (i) receiving a test structure (400), e.g. an integrated circuit, that includes at least one conductor (426) that is at least partially covered by an electro-optically active material (410) as to provide an indication about the electrical status of at least one or more of the conductors of the test structure; (ii) providing an electrical signal to the conductor, such as charge at least a portion of the conductor; and (iii) imaging the test structure by optical means (430) to locate a defect.

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

WO 2004/031791 A3



For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR DEFECT LOCALIZATION**RELATED APPLICATIONS**

[0001] This application claims the priority of U.S. provisional application serial number 60/416,333, filed 3 October 2002.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

[0002] This invention relates to methods and systems for defect localization and especially for defects, including both hard defects and soft defects, that occur within electrical test structures used in microfabrication.

BACKGROUND

[0003] Test structures are fabricated in order to enhance defect detection and/or analysis of microfabrication manufacturing process. Test structures may be included in a variety of objects, such as but not limited to integrated circuits, masks (for fabricating integrated circuits, flat panel displays and the like), MEMS devices and the like. They may be located at various locations on these objects, such as in the integrated circuit die or in scribe lines on semiconductor wafers. In many cases the size of a defect is much smaller than the size of the test structure and there is a need to locate the defect within the test structure in order to perform classification and root cause analysis. The localization of the defect is difficult and time consuming, especially in the context of integrated circuit manufacturing, and failure analysis devices, such as Defect Review Scanning Electron Microscope (DR-SEM) that are utilized during said manufacturing process.

[0004] Usually, test structures include one, two or more electrical conductors that may be shaped in various manners, such as a comb, serpentine, nest, via chain and the like that are known in the art. A defective test structure may be characterized by hard defects

(electrical short or electrical open, i.e. isolated) and soft defects (high resistance vias or shorts resulting from metal threads or stringers).

[0005] PCB electro-optic high speed sampling-based probing of voltages on PCBs is known as described for example in US patents to Paul Meyreueix et al of Schlumberger US5,272,434 and US5,394,098. An electro-optic (EO) coating is applied to a PCB and is then used to sense and sample the voltage waveform as a function of time on PCB conductors under the EO coating.

[0006] The Microloop Product by KLA-Tencor of San Jose California uses a combination of the KLA-Tencor eS20 e-beam inspection system and KLA-Tencor eV300 DR SEM for non-contact inspection, defect localization and classification of defects in electrical test structures. Microloop cannot detect or localize soft defects as the beam induced current (typically $<100\text{nA}$ and often $<1\text{-}10\text{nA}$) through a high resistance defect often does not result in a sufficient voltage difference across the defect for that voltage difference to be detected in a voltage contrast image. Microloop is also prohibitively expensive for some manufacturers to employ routinely due to the cost and complexity of the vacuum and loadlock systems required by the DR and EBI SEMs.

[0007] Alternately a voltage contrast e-beam prober, for example, an IDS 10000 e-beam prober from NPTest of San Jose, Ca (formerly Schlumberger Semiconductor Solutions) with mechanical probes or a probecard in the vacuum chamber, can, using direct electrical connections (with mechanical probes or probecard), inject larger currents (than are possible with e-beam) into test structures. The larger current when passing through a soft electrical defect produces a larger voltage and therefore a larger voltage contrast signal that can be more readily detected. However, mechanical probes are difficult to manipulate accurately or reliably inside a vacuum chamber and generate micro-particle contamination that is unacceptable in cleanroom tools, particularly in-line SEMs (DR, EBI & CD) and generally in microfabrication manufacturing.

[0008] OBIRCH – Optical Beam-Induced Resistance CHange – Nikawa-san of NEC publications at ISTFA and IRPS 1999 and 2000: an optical beam is raster scanned over the structure and the supply current or voltage is monitored. The optical beam (usually IR) heats the structure locally and temporarily increasing the resistance of the element heated. When a defective high resistance structure is heated, the resistance change is often greater and results in a larger and readily detectable change of supply current (or voltage or in the case of a constant current supply). An OBRICH image can be produced by plotting the change in supply current or voltage against the position of the optical beam in the raster – defects show as bright (or dark) areas in the image corresponding with the larger change in current or voltage induced by the presence of the defect.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0009] The invention provides methods and system for cost-effectively and efficiently localizing defects including both soft defects and hard defects in test structures (and other structures), especially without using cumbersome and expensive vacuum chambers and associated pumping systems.

[0010] The invention provides a method for defect localization in a microfabricated test structure (and other structures) based upon optical inspection of optical signals that represent the electrical status of the test structure.

[0011] The invention provides a defect localization method that includes (i) receiving a test structure that comprises at least one conductor and an electro-optically active material that is positioned such as to provide an indication about the electrical status of at least one or more of the conductors of the test structure; (ii) providing an electrical signal to the conductor and (iii) imaging the test structure to locate a defect.

[0012] The invention provides a system for defect localization that includes: (i) means for providing an electrical signal to at least one conductor of a test structure,; whereas the test structure comprises at least one conductor and an electro-optically active material

that is positioned such as to provide an indication about the electrical status of one or more of the conductors of the test structure; and (ii) means for illuminating the test structure; (iii) at least one detector, for detecting light scattered or reflected from the test structure; and (iv) a processor for processing detection signals from the detectors to locate a defect.

[0013] The invention provides a test structure that includes at least one conductor configured to receive an electrical signal and an electro-optically active layer positioned such as to at least partially interact with at least one conductor, such as to provide an optical indication about the electrical state of the at least one conductor. The test structure may further include a non-opaque conductive material positioned such as to enhance detected radiation from the test structure.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0014] In order to understand the invention and to see how it may be carried out in practice, a preferred embodiment will now be described, by way of non-limiting example only, with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

[0015] Figures 1a-1c illustrate prior art test structures and pads used to probe the test structures;

[0016] Figure 2a is a prior art method for examining a test structure; .

[0017] Figure 2b illustrates a prior art defective test structure that is not located by prior art optical inspection methods;

[0018] Figures 3a, 3b, 7 and 8 are flow charts of method for defect localization, according to various embodiments of the invention;

[0019] Figure. 4a illustrates a test structure that includes an electro-optically active layer;

[0020] Figure 4b depicts a system for defect localization as well as a test structure, according to an embodiment of the invention;

[0021] Figure 4c illustrates an electric field within the electro optically active layer with and without an optional transparent conductive layer, according to an embodiment of the invention;

[0022] Figures 5a and 5b illustrate systems for defect localization, according to various embodiments of the invention;

[0023] Figure 6a is an image of a defective test structure, the image acquired during optical voltage contrast mode, according to an embodiment of the invention.

[0024] Figure 6b is various images of a non-defective test structure as well of two defective test structures, according to an embodiment of the invention; and

[0025] Figure 9 illustrates a defective test structure that is imaged to provide a coarse image as well as a fine image of one of its portions, according to an embodiment of the invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[0026] In the following detailed description of the preferred embodiments and other embodiments of the invention, reference is made to the accompanying drawings. It is to be understood that those of skill in the art will readily see other embodiments and changes may be made without departing from the scope of the invention.

[0027] The term "electro-optically-active" material relates to a material that emits light or that transmits or reflects light differently in response to an electrical state of the material or an electric field applied to the material. The intensity of the emitted light can be responsive to a voltage potential of the material.

[0028] Figure 1 depicts prior art test structures. FIG 1A depicts a comb structure 10 that includes a interdigitated fingers structure connected to large probes 20 that are connected to an ohmmeter. Structure 20 is commonly used for detecting electrical short-type defects such as metal stringers. An electrical short, such as short 22, between any two fingers is readily detected by electrically measuring the resistance between the two

interdigitated comb structures. Such shorts can also be detected using beam induced voltage contrast when one side of the comb is electrically floating and the other side is electrically grounded. However, it is not possible with this structure to localize the defect with voltage contrast. When a short defect in a comb is undetectable using inspection methods, it is extremely difficult and time consuming to localize the precise location of the defect for root cause analysis or classification.

[0029] Figure 1B depicts a prior art test structure 11 that has a serpent structure that typically includes a chain of vias between two metal layers. Each of the two ends of the serpent structure is connected to large pads 20 that in turn are connected to an ohmmeter. An electrical open defect, such as open defect 24, can readily be detected by electrical test of the structure. Localization of these defects can be accomplished using the methods depicted in Figures 2a and 2b. However, if the open defect is not characterized by a very high resistance or is completely open, localization is very difficult even with beam-induced voltage contrast as the beam induced current through a high resistance defect often does not result in a sufficient voltage difference across the defect for that voltage difference to be detected by voltage contrast.

[0030] Figure 1c depicts prior art test structure 12 that includes a one-sided comb with floating comb fingers 26 designed to enhance localization with beam-induced voltage contrast (BIVC). KLA-Tencor's Microloop product uses similar one sided comb-like test structure where one side of the comb is comprised of electrically isolated fingers. This approach facilitates localization of a short defect, such as defect 28, to a particular pair of fingers in the comb but further inspection is required to localize defect itself. Open defects can be detected but only in the grounded comb fingers. This structure is also limited because the resistance of the short defect is typically unknown with the BIVC method (and no pads exist for electrical test) and hence it is very difficult to know if a defect is a nuisance defect as for example would be the case for a 10M ohm metal stringer in a logic circuit. Conversely a high resistance open defect (10-10M+ ohms) in

of the grounded comb fingers will not be detected with voltage contrast. If the short defect cannot be detected by inspection, localization remains difficult and slow.

[0031] Figure 2a is an example test structure electrical defect detection localization method flow diagram. Method 200 include step 210 of providing a structure that can be inspected by a probe based inspection method, step 220 of inspecting the structure, typically using an optical based inspection method, to detect visible defects, step 230 of performing visible defect defects review and classification, steps 240 and 250 of performing a probe based test, while using visible defect information gained from previous steps as well from conventional electrical tests, and step 260 of cross sectioning the structure to further evaluate the status of the structure. The principle limitation of method 200 is that is cannot be used to locate defects that cannot be detected by inspection – which is increasingly a problem for optical inspection tools and for subsurface electrical defects such as high resistance contacts and vias.

[0032] Figure 2B is a graphical illustration of an exemplary prior art localization technique using beam-induced SEM or e-beam voltage contrast (BIVC). In this example, an electron beam first charges part of a test structure which then appears with a different contrast due to the different voltage induced on the floating portion of the structure. Note that one end of the structure can be grounded to enhance contrast between the two halves of the structure although in some circumstances effective localization can be achieved without grounding. A limitation of this approach is that high resistance vias prevent this differential charging effect as the charge is conducted through the high resistance via faster than the beam can be used to interrogate the structure for voltage differences.

[0033] Figure 3A is a flow diagram of a method 300 of an embodiment of the invention for localizing a defect in a test structure (and other structures) in a optical voltage contrast mode. Method 300 includes: “start” step 310, step 320 of providing a test structure that includes an upper electro-optically active layer, step 330 of applying a

voltage or current to the test structure (collectively applying an electrical signal that can include using a voltage source, current source or combination or applying charge or apply an electrical signal to charge at least a conductor portion of the test structure), step 340 of imaging the test structure in optical voltage contrast mode to determine the location of a defect in the test structure. The method can be repeated for multiple test structures (as indicated by step 350 "go to next structure or stop loop").

[0034] The electro-optically active layer can be made of materials such as a liquid crystal or a birefringent material (preferably electro-optically active polymers).

Optionally, the electro-optically active layer is coated with a non-opaque (transparent, semi-transparent or translucent) conductive layer. Step 340 of imaging may include illumination with polarized light optionally from a laser light source as depicted in FIG 6.

[0035] Figure 3B is a flow diagram of a method 302 for localizing a defect in a test structure. Method 302 includes steps 310 ("start"), 320-350 of method 300 and an additional step 315 that precedes step 320. Step 315 includes analyzing a test structure that does not include the electro-optically active layer, by a prior art inspection method, such as those mentioned above, including optical inspection and electrical testing to locate defects. These defect may be later imaged during step 340, although step 340 include inspection other areas of the test structure, to locate defects that were not located during step 315.

[0036] Figure 4a depicts a test structure 400 that includes a substrate 424 (typically made of silicon), a dielectric insulator layer 420 located above the substrate, multiple conductors 426 that form the conductive part of the test structure (typically made of copper or aluminum) that are partially surrounded by the dielectric insulator layer 420. The upper surface of the dielectric insulator layer 420 as well as the conductors 426 are coated with electro-optic layer 410, that in turn is located beneath an optional transparent or semitransparent conductive layer 414. The functionality of the conductive

layer 414 is further described at Figure 4c. It is mainly used for increasing the E-field within electro-optic layer 410 being induced by the provision of voltage to conductors 426 of the test structure 400. It is noted that when using copper made conductors, there is a need to add a temporary layer of passivation to the test structures to prevent rapid corrosion of the copper. The electro-optic layer 410 in many circumstances can also suffice as a temporary passivation layer to prevent or delay this undesirable corrosion.

[0037] Figure 4b depicts in more detail the arrangement of a high resolution optical microscope for imaging and detecting defects, as well as and a test structures that includes an electro-optic layer such as layer 410. A high resolution optical microscope 510 is positioned such as to direct light towards a test structure 400 and to receive light reflected or scattered from said test structure. In addition, probe based measurements are facilitated by providing a probe card 516 that may be connected to probes such as probe 512 that may contact a conductive pad that is positioned below a window within the electro-optical layer 410 (as well as the conductive layer 414). Figure 4b describes a probe 512 that can contact the conductors of the test structure 400. Optical microscope 510 and probe card 516 may be connected to a processor (which may be included within the microscope) that is capable of receiving optical detection signals from microscope 510 and electrical signals from card 516 and processing said signals to locate a defect. The system usually generates an image, but this is not necessarily so.

[0038] Figure 4c illustrates the electric field within the electro-optic layer with and without an the optional transparent or semitransparent conductive layer 414 of test structure 400. This layer prevents the leakage of electrical field flux from one conductor 426 to the other and increases the amount of flux that is directed outside the test structure. The Figure also describes the potential of each of the three conductors. While the provision of conductive layer adds processing complexity, it provides a stronger E field over the surface of the structure.

[0039] Figure 5a depicts an optical system 500 as well as an inspected test structure, such as test structure 400 of Figure 4a that include an upper electro optical layer 410 and optionally a conductive layer 414. An optical microscope is used for imaging the test structure, and Figure 5a illustrates some of the components of said microscope.

[0040] Optical system 500 includes means for providing an electrical signal such as unit 562 and even unit 560; means for illuminating the test structure, such as laser 550, plate 552, beam splitter 562 and objective lens 430; at least one detector, such as camera 556 and processor (not shown) for processing signals from the at least one detector, and can also coordinate the operation of various parts of optical system 500. The processor may analyze the signals to provide the location of a defect (by tracking the changes in color of the image, in response to the shape of the conductors of the test structure), but this is necessarily so and once an image is provided to a user, the user can determine the location of the defect.

[0041] An XY stage provides for moving the test structure relative to the microscope to allow imaging of the complete test structure 400 and for moving between test structures. The system may also have z-axis movement capabilities for functions including focusing manipulating the probecard. Electrical stimulus is provided to the test structure (via pads that are connected to the conductors 426 of the test structure 400) by unit 562. The electrical stimulus can be DC or AC and the phase of the AC can optionally be adjusted or synchronized, by unit 560, with the camera image 556 capture.

[0042] A light source, preferably polarized and preferably a laser 550 passes through a polarizer 552 and a beam splitter 562 before entering an objective lens 430. Light returned from the test structure 400 is reflected by the beam splitter 562 through a polarizer/analyzer 554 and into a CCD camera 556 for image capture. The intensity in the image is a function of orientation of the polarizer/analyzer 554 and any change in polarization to the incident light induced by the electro-optic material layer on the test structure surface. An E field in the active electro optical layer 410 induced by a voltage

provided to the test structure by unit 562, will proportionately alter the polarization state of reflected light from the test structure 400. The polarizer/analyzer 554 can be rotated to maximize the change in intensity or sensitivity seen in acquired image. A defect will appear as either a light or dark region in the acquired and displayed image, as illustrated in FIGURE 6. The acquired image can be stored, displayed (the display image is denoted OVC – Optical Voltage Contrast image 558), manipulated to enhance contrast or to automatically locate the defect.

[0043] Figure 5B illustrates system 502 and test structure 400. System 502 images test structures 400 in OVC mode. System 502 has a scanner 570 positioned downstream of the light source 550, and includes a detector and sensitive signal-processing electronics 566. The optical setup is similar to that of system 500 but further includes the optical scanner 570, a second beam splitter 562, an additional polarizer/analyzer 554, a detector and signal processing electronics 556 for enhancing the sensitivity of the system.

[0044] Optical scanning of a finely focused light spot is accomplished with an optical scanner 570 that includes piezo activated mirrors or prisms or similar scanning capability. System 502 can implement confocal laser scanning microscopy to enhance resolution and contrast in the resulting OVC image. An optional separate optical path is provided with a CCD camera 556 for purposes of setup, focus and alignment. The focused spot of light passes through the microscope objective 430 to the test structure 400. Its polarization state is changed in proportion to the E field in the EO layer 410 on the test structure 400. The reflected light is further reflected by a beam splitter 562 through a polarizer/analyzer 554 and into a sensitive light detector circuit 568 that is illustrated as a light sensitive diode connected to a resistor. The detector circuit 568 may include a photo diode (PN, PIN, Avalanche etc.) or a Photo Multiplier Tube. Sensitive signal processing electronics 566 is used to extract the resulting signal from the noise. Preferred signal processing techniques include phase sensitive rectification or amplification in appropriate phase with either a chopped optical beam (chopper not

shown) or in appropriate phase to an AC stimulus to the test structure. An OVC image of the coated test structure is constructed by raster scanning the light spot over the structure.

[0045] Figure 6a is an illustration of optical image 602 showing a defect 604 in OVC mode in a fine comb structure. The defect is located along the bright line. Note that even though the resolution of this image is insufficient to show the individual features of the test structure, the defect signature is still clearly visible.

[0046] Figure 6b illustrates OVC images of test structures. Image 604 illustrates probe pads 606 connected to two ends of a non-defective test structure 400. The color (gray scale) of the test structure monotonically increases from the lower end to the upper end. Image 608 illustrates probe pads 606 connected to two ends of a defective test structure (e.g. 400) that includes an open defect. Due to the open defect there is a difference between the gray level of conductors positioned at both sides of the open defect. Image 610 illustrates probe pads 606 connected to two ends of a defective test structure 400 that includes a soft open defect (a high resistance of about 10kohm, as illustrated by equivalent circuit 612). Due to the soft open defect there is a graded difference between the gray levels of conductors positioned at both sides of the soft open defect.

[0047] Note that the defect is typically located at (or near) the discontinuity in the gray level of the conductors that form the test structure. Note also that the discontinuity is present, even when the defect is a high resistance defect. In serpents and via chains, the magnitude of the discontinuity is typically proportional the resistance of the defect. Figure 7 is a flow diagram of method 700 for defect localization. Method 700 includes: "start" step 710, step 720 of receiving a test structure that includes an electro-optically layer, step 730 of applying DA or AC voltage or current to the test structure that is known to be defective, step 740 of imaging the test structure in optical voltage contrast mode, and step 750 of analyzing the image (tracking grayscale difference) to

determine the location of a defect in the test structure. The method can be repeated for multiple test structures (as indicated by step 750 "go to next structure or stop loop").

[0049] Figure 8 is a flow diagram of method 800 for defect localization. Method 800 includes: Method 800 includes: "start" step 810, step 820 of receiving a test structure that includes an electro-optically layer, step 830 of applying an AC voltage or current to the test structure that is known to be defective, step 840 of acquiring a first image of the test structure in optical voltage contrast mode, during a first phase of the AC voltage or current, step 850 of acquiring a second image of the test structure in optical voltage contrast mode, during a second phase of the AC voltage or current and step 860 of analyzing the first and second images to determine the location of a defect in the test structure. Step 860 may include comparing the pictures, generating a difference image and the like. Various methods for manipulating the pixels of each picture may be used. The method can be repeated for multiple test structures (as indicated by step 850 "go to next structure or stop loop"). It is further noted that more than two images of the same test structure can be acquired. Usually, the acquisition of multiple images improves the signal to noise ratio.

[0050] The alternating signal alternates at a relatively low frequency that may not exceed 100 Hz. An alternating signal can have an amplitude of about 5 volts.

[0051] Figure 9 illustrates a test structure 400 that is inspected by a two step localization method that include a first coarse scan of the test structure that is followed by a finer scan, The test structure can be larger than the field of view of the imaging optics, thus multiple step and scan steps are required.

[0052] According to various embodiments of the invention: (i) the test structure can be backside illuminated with infra red illumination having a wavelength of about 1.06 micron;. (ii) test structures include an electro-optically active substrate such as GaAs the substrate can act as the electro-optically active layer; (iii) the electro-optically active material is birefringent, a polymer, DAN [4- (N,N-dimethylamino)-3-

acetamidomnitrobenzene], COANP [2-cyclo-octylamino -5- nitropyridine], PAN [4-N-pyrrolydino -3- acetaminomnitrobenzene, MBANP [2-(alpha-methylbenzylamino)-5-nitropyridine], or liquid crystal; (iv) the electro-optically active material is disposed by spin-on, PVD, CVD or ALD; (v) the electro-optically active material is disposed such as to have a thickness that is substantially equal to a width of at least one conductor; (vi) the test structure is imaged with a resolution to detect defects comparable in size to a smallest dimension of a conductor of the test structure; (vii) the resolution is selected in response of a dimension of at least one conductor; (viii)

[0053] The present invention can be practiced by employing conventional tools, methodology and components. Accordingly, the details of such tools, component and methodology are not set forth herein in detail. In the previous descriptions, numerous specific details are set forth, such as shapes of test structures and materials that are electro-optically active, in order to provide a thorough understanding of the present invention. However, it should be recognized that the present invention might be practiced without resorting to the details specifically set forth.

[0054] Only exemplary embodiments of the present invention and but a few examples of its versatility are shown and described in the present disclosure. It is to be understood that the present invention is capable of use in various other combinations and environments and is capable of changes or modifications within the scope of the inventive concept as expressed herein.

CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1. A method for defect localization, comprising:

receiving a test structure that comprises at least one conductor and an electro-optically active material that is positioned such as to provide an indication about the electrical status of the at least one conductor;

applying an electrical signal to the conductor; and

imaging the test structure to locate a defect.

2. The method of claim 1, wherein the test structure is positioned on a wafer.

3. The method of claim 2, wherein the test structure is located on a scribe line or a die of the wafer.

4. The method of claim 1, wherein the step of imaging comprises illuminating the test structure with a polarized light.

5. The method of claim 1, further comprising reporting the location of the defect.

6. The method of claim 1, wherein the electro-optically active material is birefringent.

7. The method of claim 1, wherein the electro-optically active material is polymer.

8. The method of claim 1, wherein the electro-optically active layer is selected from the group consisting of: DAN [4- (N,N-dimethylamino)-3-acetamidonitrobenzene]; COANP [2-cyclo-octylamino -5- nitropyridine]; PAN [4-N-pyrrolydino -3- acetaminonitrobenzene]; and MBANP [2-(alpha-methylbenzylamino)-5-nitropyridine].

9. The method of claim 1, wherein the electro-optically active material is a liquid crystal.
10. The method of claim 1, wherein electro-optically active material is disposed by spin-on, PVD, CVD or ALD.
11. The method of claim 1, wherein the electro-optically active material is disposed such as to have a thickness that is substantially equal to a width of at least one conductor.
12. The method of claim 1, wherein the electrical signal is a direct current (DC) voltage or current.
13. The method of claim 1, wherein the amplitude of the electrical signal is about 5 volts.
14. The method of claim 1, wherein the electrical signal is an alternating voltage or current.
15. The method of claim 14, wherein the step of imaging comprises:
 - acquiring a first image of the test structure at a first phase of the electrical signal;
 - and
 - acquiring a second image at a second phase of the electrical signal.
16. The method of claim 15, wherein the step of imaging further comprises processing the first and second image to determine the location of the defect.
17. The method of claim 16, wherein the processing comprises providing a difference image between the first and second images.
18. The method of claim 15, wherein the electrical signal alternates at a frequency that ranges between 1-100Hz.
19. The method of claim 1, wherein imaging the test structure further comprises imaging with sufficient resolution to detect defects comparable in size to a smallest dimension of a conductor of the test structure.

20. The method of claim 1, wherein imaging the test structure further comprises selecting resolution based on a dimension of at least one conductor.
21. The method of claim 1, wherein the test structure further comprises a non-opaque conductive material positioned above the electro-optically active material.
22. The method of claim 21, wherein the non-opaque conductive layer is electrically grounded.
23. The method of claim 1, wherein the step of receiving a test structure is preceded by the steps of: inspecting the test structure before the conductor is at least partially covered by an electro-optically active material; and analyzing the test structure to provide a first analysis result.
24. The method of claim 1, further comprising performing a probe-based analysis of the test structure.
25. The method of claim 1 wherein the electrical signal charges at least a portion of the conductor.
26. A system for defect localization, comprising:
 means for providing an electrical signal to at least one conductor of a test structure; wherein the test structure comprises at least the conductor and electro-optically active material that is positioned such as to provide an indication about the electrical status of the at least one conductor;
 means for illuminating the test structure;
 at least one detector, for detecting light scattered or reflected from the test structure; and
 a processor for processing detection signals from the detectors to locate a defect.
27. The system of claim 26, wherein the means for illuminating illuminates the test structure with a polarized light.
28. The system of claim 26, further adapted to report the location of the defect.

29. The system of claim 26, wherein the electrical signal is a direct current (DC) voltage or current.
30. The system of claim 26, wherein the amplitude of the electrical signal is about 5 volts.
31. The system of claim 26, wherein the electrical signal is an alternating voltage or current.
32. The system of claim 31, wherein the system is adapted to acquire a first image of the test structure at a first phase of the electrical signal; and acquire a second image at a second phase of the electrical signal.
33. The system of claim 32, wherein the processor is adapted to process the first and second image to determine the location of the defect.
34. The system of claim 33, wherein the processor is adapted to generate a difference image between the first and second images.
35. The system of claim 31, wherein the electrical signal alternates at a frequency that ranges between 1-100Hz.
36. The system of claim 26, wherein the system is adapted to image the test structure with sufficient resolution to detect defects comparable in size to a smallest dimension of a conductor of the test structure.
37. A test structure configured to facilitate the localization of defects therein, comprising:
 at least one conductor configured to receive an electrical signal;
 an electro-optically active layer positioned such as to provide an optical indication about the electrical state of the at least one conductor to facilitate defect localization.
38. The test structure of claim 37, wherein the electro-optically active material is birefringent.

39. The test structure of claim 37, wherein the electro-optically active material is polymer.

40. The test structure of claim 37, wherein the electro-optically active layer is selected from the group consisting of: DAN [4- (N,N-dimethylamino)-3- acetamidomitrobenzene]; COANP [2-cyclo-octylamino -5- nitropyridine]; PAN [4-N-pyrrolydino -3- acetaminomitrobenzene]; and MBANP [2-(alpha-methylbenzylamino)-5-nitropyridine].

41. The test structure of claim 37, wherein the electro-optically active material is a liquid crystal.

42. The test structure of claim 37, wherein the electro-optically active material at least partially covers the at least one conductor.

43. The test structure of claim 37, wherein the test structure further comprises a non-opaque conductive material positioned such as to enhance detected radiation from the test structure.

44. The test structure of claim 43, wherein the non-opaque conductive layer is electrically grounded.

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

1/15




FIG. 1A

10





FIG. 1B

11



12
FIG. 1C

JCO6 Rec'd/PCT/PTO 01 APR 2005

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

2/15








FIG. 2A

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

3/15



Subsurface open defect
(optically undetectable)
30



Disconnected Plug

FIG. 2B

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

4/15




FIG. 3A

JC06 Rec'd/PCT/PTO 01 APR 2009

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

5/15




FIG. 3B

JC06 Rec'd/PCT/PTO 01 APR 2005

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

6/15




FIG. 4A

JCO6 Rec'd PCT/PTO 01 APR 2005

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

7/15





FIG. 4B

JC06 Rec'd/PCT/PTO 01 APR 2005

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

8/15




Without transparent conductive layer on top to increase E field strength.

Fig 4C.

JC06 Rec'd PCT/PTO 01 APR 2009

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

9/15



500
FIG. 5A.

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

10/15



502

FIG. 5B

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

11/15



Short defect
604

602

FIG 6A.

JC06 Rec'd/PCT/PTO 01 APR 2005

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO,

12/15





FIG 6B.

JC06 Rec'd/PCT/PTO 01 APR 2005

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

13/15




FIG. 7

JCOS Rec'd/PCT/PTO 11 APR 2009

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

14/15




FIG. 8

JCO6 Rec'd/PCT/PTO 01 APR 2005

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)




FIG. 9

JC06 Rec'd/PCT/PTO 01 APR 2005

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Internal Application No

PCT/US 03/31398

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7 G01R31/308

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 G01R

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 6 271 671 B1 (MECHTEL DEBORAH M ET AL) 7 August 2001 (2001-08-07) column 1, line 20-33 column 3, line 23 -column 4, line 57 column 5, line 5-21, 46-49 column 6, line 3-29, 47-51 column 7, line 5-41; figures 1-8	1-44
X	EP 0 493 906 A (AMERICAN TELEPHONE & TELEGRAPH) 8 July 1992 (1992-07-08) column 1, line 3-24 column 3, line 14 -column 4, line 47; figure 1	1, 26, 37
A	US 5 272 434 A (MEYRUEIX PAUL ET AL) 21 December 1993 (1993-12-21) cited in the application column 7, line 1-10; figure 3	21, 22, 43, 44
-/--		

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

E earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

L document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

O document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

P document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

T later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

X document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

Y document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

& document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

11 March 2004

Date of mailing of the international search report

19/03/2004

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Prasse, T

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Internal Application No

PCT/US 03/31398

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	EP 0 299 432 A (HAMAMATSU PHOTONICS KK) 18 January 1989 (1989-01-18) column 14, line 49 -column 15, line 3 -----	15-17, 32-34

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

 Internat Application No
 PCT/US 03/31398

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 6271671	B1	07-08-2001	CN	1137385 C	04-02-2004
			EP	1121602 A1	08-08-2001
			TW	479139 B	11-03-2002
			WO	0022443 A1	20-04-2000

EP 0493906	A	08-07-1992	US	5126660 A	30-06-1992
			DE	69114256 D1	07-12-1995
			DE	69114256 T2	30-05-1996
			EP	0493906 A1	08-07-1992
			JP	2076092 C	25-07-1996
			JP	5045424 A	23-02-1993
			JP	7104397 B	13-11-1995

US 5272434	A	21-12-1993	FR	2621699 A1	14-04-1989
			FR	2633055 A2	22-12-1989
			US	5394098 A	28-02-1995
			CA	1330360 C	21-06-1994
			DE	3853389 D1	27-04-1995
			DE	3853389 T2	16-11-1995
			EP	0306359 A2	08-03-1989
			JP	1119778 A	11-05-1989
			JP	2843572 B2	06-01-1999

EP 0299432	A	18-01-1989	JP	1018071 A	20-01-1989
			JP	2582579 B2	19-02-1997
			JP	1018072 A	20-01-1989
			JP	2119174 C	06-12-1996
			JP	8020470 B	04-03-1996
			JP	1018073 A	20-01-1989
			JP	8030721 B	27-03-1996
			DE	3889986 D1	14-07-1994
			DE	3889986 T2	15-09-1994
			EP	0299432 A2	18-01-1989
			US	4906922 A	06-03-1990
			US	5034683 A	23-07-1991

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

☐ **BLACK BORDERS**

☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**

☒ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**

☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**

☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**

☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**

☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**

☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**

☒ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**

☐ **OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)